Summit County Board of Health Meeting Minutes

Conducted Remotely through Zoom

Monday, July 20, 2020 - DRAFT

Topic	Discussion	Action or Summary
Attendance	Board Members Present: Ilyssa Golding - Chair, Doug Evans, Marc Watterson, Kim Carson, Dorothy Adams Staff Present: Dr. Richard Bullough – Director, Dr. Phil Bondurant, Nate Brooks Thomas, Cindy Keyes, Katy Staley	
Welcome and approval of minutes	The appeal meeting was called to order at 4:00 p.m. Board Member Evans made a motion to open the meeting. Board Member Carson seconded the motion.	
Appeal of Face Covering Requirement for Children	 Dr. Bullough shared the background for this appeal. In March there were surging cases of COVID-19, and the County acted quickly. About a month ago, the Health Department looked at the best way to protect residents without closing the economy again. It became clear that face coverings could help mitigate the risk of contracting COVID-19. The 2020-08 mask order was implemented, and it quickly became clear that the order should be reconsidered. On July 1, 2020 the mask order was amended to include face coverings which would mitigate COVID-19 risk and encourage the use of face coverings. This amended order established more options for compliance. There is evidence that youth are more comfortable with face shields. Some face coverings are more conducive to exercise than others. Dr. Bondurant stated there have been a variety of different appeals to the mask order, and the Health Department is working to find common ground for face coverings for children in a day care setting. The Governor has drafted a document for exceptions to the mask order. Children ages 0 to 3 are exempt from wearing face coverings. Face coverings are required for children ages 4 and older. Rachel Barnett spoke on behalf of ten childcare centers and nine providers. The way the order is written, children are treated as young adults with the expectation that they can keep face coverings on, which is flawed. The first concern for children wearing face coverings is for health and safety. There is a possible choking hazard, and with masks there is no way to see if a child is choking. Children have been observed sucking on the masks. The second concern is with liability. The childcare center could be liable if wearing a mask perpetuates existing health conditions of children in their care. The third concern is that children 4 to 5 years of age are relatively good wearing a mask up to two hours, but when a mask is taken off, the teacher has to put it back on. This requires the teacher to touch the child's face, which could be	Summary: Daycare facilities are appealing the decision to require face coverings for children. Rachel Barnett and Nathalie Pepito presented the argument for not requiring children in a daycare setting to wear face coverings. The Governor has drafted a document that presents exceptions to the mask order. A motion was passed that denies the appeal as written and adopts the State Health Department guidelines. More information can be found at www.coronavirus.utah.gov.

Topic	Discussion	Action or Summary
Topic	they can hold their breath and pass out or throw up. Masks make it difficult to see anything in a child's mouth or see if they are choking. Some children have undiagnosed conditions which could be exacerbated by wearing masks for eight hours. Children are conditioned to obey and may not say if they are having a problem. Children this age are learning expressions and mask wearing makes it difficult to see expressions on adults and children. The Bureau of childcare Licensing has not mandated masks. To mitigate the spread of COVID-19, parents are not allowed in the childcare center, hands are washed regularly, and temperatures are taken. The childcare centers are happy to do screening. Toddlers are gross and have drool and snot, which requires masks to be replaced regularly. • Dr. Bondurant stated that the face covering order for public schools includes exemptions for children younger than 3 or if a caregiver cannot place the face covering safely on the face. There are also exemptions for physical distancing. Board Member Carson stated the exemptions allow for the best judgement of the parent or caregiver. Dr. Bondurant stated some exemptions include medical or mental health conditions, those who are deaf or hard of hearing, children with an IEP (Individual Education Plan), and children participating in PE (Physical Education). More information can be seen at www.cronavirus.utah.gov . • Rachel Barnett stated that it sounds like the exemptions will help quite a bit, especially the exception for the caregiver being able to safely place a face covering on a child. It is not safe for teachers to put face coverings on children. The childcare centers are trying to increase their outdoor curriculum. Kids run around a lot and it is hard to know if they are maintaining a six-foot distance from each other. Non-profit childcare centers do not have enough money to purchase masks for every teacher and child. It is not practical to wear gloves to change children's masks because the	Action or Summary

Topic	Discussion	Action or Summary
	 Board Member Carson made a motion to deny the appeal as written and adopt the State Health Department guidelines as were shown during this meeting with the exemptions applicable to daycare centers according to the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law to be drafted by Dave Thomas and authorize the Chair to sign for those. Board Member Evans seconded the motion. Motion passed 7-0. Board Member Adams stated that establishments will do the right thing because they do not want to deal with the ramifications of an outbreak. An outbreak would be the worst thing for a business. Dr. Bullough stated that school nurses are the point of contact for tracing in schools. Daycares are limiting contact by having small groups together. It is unreasonable to require the daycare facilities to do contact tracing. The daycares are not required to contact the Health Department if an outbreak occurs in their facility, but it would be in their best interest to do so. The Health Department is responsible for contact tracing. 	
Appeal of Face Covering Requirement During Exercise	 Dr. Bondurant introduced the appellants for the face covering requirement during exercise. Dr. Bullough shared that the mask order applies to indoor activity. We live in a community where health and fitness are taken seriously. It is clear that masks mitigate COVID-19 risks and the risks are greater indoors than outdoors. It is less clear what risk is coming from fitness facilities. There are well-documented outbreaks in fitness facilities nationally, but there have not been any significant outbreaks in Summit County or in Utah from fitness facilities – yet. The health risk of wearing a mask is low but can be significant for some individuals. COVID-19 cases are surging in the state and county, and the mask order is in place for mitigation. It is in the best interest of businesses to take precautions and have mitigating measures to keep their business open. If the mask order is eased in indoor settings, there would have to be other mitigating measures in place. Melissa O'Brien, representing Snyderville Basin parks and Recreation stated that Basin Rec was in favor of the last joint order, and appreciates what the Health Department is doing to keep businesses open. Basin Rec would like guidance for indoor and outdoor sports. Fitness facilities can further distance and get more people coming in if they are not required to wear masks. Matt Strader is the manager of The Fieldhouse and stated there is a constant battle against wearing masks. Strenuous exercise makes it harder to breathe with a mask on. If people can be spaced farther during cardio without masks, that would be easier. Salt Lake County has a strenuous exercise exemption for masks. Melissa Garland represents a group of small private businesses of the Park City Exercise Coalition, which is in support of the County and is here to ensure that they live up to every requirement and want to be able to always support the County. Social distance has helped to prevent outbreaks in fitness centers. The appeal is to ask for a min	Summary: • The Board of Health discussed an appeal of the face covering requirement during exercise. • Melissa O'Brien, Melissa Garland, and Matt Strader presented an argument for the appeal on behalf of the fitness industry in Summit County. • The appeal for the face covering requirement during exercise was denied, but the requests 1 through 4 in the appeal were accepted and face coverings will not be required when social distance of six to ten feet is maintained.

Topic	Discussion	Action or Summary
· Opic	businesses have closed. Many people have explicitly said that the mask	Action of Julillary
	requirement is the reason they will not come to the fitness facility.	
	Board Member Adams stated that Salt Lake County is watching the	
	numbers and not seeing COVID-19 cases from fitness centers. Salt Lake	
	County is following the State's guidelines and social distancing is working.	
	Outdoor and indoor sports are a concern but should be okay with social	
	distancing and wearing masks on the sidelines. The concern is with	
	sideline behavior, not play. Board Member Evans stated that active	
	participants in sports get temperature checks, have spacing on the	
	sidelines and prohibit bottle sharing.	
	• Dr. Bullough stated that duration of exposure is important. Limiting the	
	duration of exercise could be a mitigating factor, but it could not be	
	enforced. The CDC (Center for Disease Control) has identified fifteen	
	minutes or more of exposure increases the risk of contracting COVID-19.	
	Schools have mitigating factors for the length of exposure.	
	Dr. Bondurant stated that even hospital grade filters do not filter out all	
	viral particles. Only UV treatment removes all viral particles, but UV units	
	are very expensive. Filtration units are encouraged but will not get the	
	level of filtration that is anticipated. Board Member Evans stated that	
	proper ventilation is more effective, exhausting more air and bringing in	
	fresh air. Melissa Garland stated that most facilities can increase their	
	ventilation and some already have. Limits to aerobic activity can be	
	made, with only part being high intensity. Chair Golding stated her worry	
	about classes in an enclosed space without windows. It is better to err on	
	the side of caution. It is arbitrary if exercise facilities are allowed to have	
	masks off. Maybe classes could be held outdoors while the weather is nice.	
	Melissa Garland stated that if things stay at their current level then it	
	would be more cost effective to close the facilities and request financial	
	support from the County. Many facilities do not wish to shut down, but	
	the mask order brings up the question of survival. With no indications	
	that fitness centers are causing COVID-19 outbreaks, it seems reasonable	
	to ask for an exemption. Revenues have dropped fifty percent from	
	COVID-19 and an additional fifty percent from the mask order. There	
	needs to be a balance between financial and physical health.	
	Board Member Adams suggested that if the request is granted then	
	cases need to be watched to see if there are links to fitness centers. If	
	there are links shown, then the issue can be revisited. The key could be	
	keeping the numbers of guests down.	
	 Board Member Ure stated that older people in Kamas are going early 	
	to work out and have notes from doctors saying that masks are	
	detrimental to their health. People are given disinfectant for exercise	
	machines to clean before and after use, and wear masks to and from the	
	machines. Indoor and contact sports are being treated as a priority when	
	they are a luxury.	
	Dr. Bullough stated that it would be weeks before an effect would be	
	seen in granting the appeal. It would be realistic to have a time limit to	
	revisit the issue. If there is an outbreak, then it would be traced to the	
	business and individuals will be isolated. The business that had the	
	outbreak would probably be put out of business. Chair Golding stated	
	that she would like to not approve the appeal and then revisit the	
	request at a later date. Dr. Bullough argued that it has been almost five	
	months without an outbreak linked to fitness centers, and it is not clear	
	what a delay would accomplish. Health Officers do have the ability to	
	close businesses if they have a significant outbreak.	

Topic	Discussion	Action or Summary
	 The specific appeal requests were clarified. Board Member Adams made a motion to deny the appeal but accept the requirements one through four and not require face coverings when social distancing of six to ten feet is in place as proposed. The language of "when social distance cannot be maintained will be removed from section 3b and 4c of the proposal. This motion is subject to the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law to be drafted by Dave Thomas and approved and signed by Chair Golding. Board Member Carson seconded the motion. Motion passed 7-0. Chair Golding suggested that a modification be made to increase the distancing to ten feet. Board Member Adams stated she is not open to that change and would like to keep with six-foot distancing for consistency. Melissa Garland asked that the County Order be amended in Section 3 to add an exempted group to include individuals engaged in strenuous physical activity. Board Member Adams stated that face coverings will not be required when people are six to ten feet apart, so there should not be an issue. Board Member Cherniak proposed that there be a review period. Dave Thomas stated that the appeal cannot be subject to reviews, but the Health Department can be directed to review and see if there is a change separate from the official motion. 	
Adjourn	 The meeting adjourned at 6:55 p.m. Board Members Watterson and Cherniak made a motion to adjourn. Motion passed 7-0. 	Summary: • The next regular meeting will be scheduled as a Zoom conference on Monday, September 14, 2020.