Summit County Board of Health Meeting Minutes Emergency Public Hearing

Conducted remotely through Zoom

Monday, March 30, 2020 - DRAFT

Topic	Discussion	Action or Summary	
Attendance	Board Members Present: Ilyssa Golding - Chair, Chris Ure, Doug Evans, Marc Wa	atterson, Kim Carson,	
	Chris Cherniak, Dorothy Adams		
	Staff Present: Dr. Richard Bullough – Director, Dr. Phil Bondurant, Nate Brooks,		
Welcome		•	
	approved the opening of the meeting.	at 5pm.	
Welcome Director's Update	The meeting was called to order at 5:00 p.m. <i>All Board Members approved the opening of the meeting.</i> • Dr. Bullough shared that Summit County has been disproportionately affected by COVID-19. Ten new cases were identified today. There are currently 176 total cases in the county, with 806 cases in the state. Summit County currently has about 20% of the cases in the state, which is down from the 50% starting point. This could be interpreted that Summit County was hit first and hard, and COVID-19 is now growing throughout the state. It is encouraging that the county is not seeing rapid exponential growth. There have been 474 tests conducted in Summit County, with 34% being positive. The data should be more robust in another couple of weeks. It is estimated that the number of cases will peak of new cases on/about April 14 th . There is demographic data, but it is taking time to input all the case data. Student nurses are receiving community credit for helping with the investigations. The state and the CDC (Center for Disease Control) are also providing additional resources. • Personal protective equipment (PPE) supplies are adequate for now, and more have been ordered. There will be ongoing ordering of PPE. There has been discussion of a statewide stay at home order, but there is currently a statewide stay at home directive. • There have been a lot of questions and requests for antibody testing. All of those requests are being referred to Intermountain. The most effective interventions are separation, social distancing, cleanliness, and isolation. Board Member Cherniak asked if there is any news about a test that can be analyzed in under an hour. Dr. Bullough affirmed there is a rapid test that has been FDA approved, and should be available soon. Current testing appears to be going smoothly. Recovery data will be shared at a future date. A percentage increase will also be shared. • The Board of Health joined with the County Council to discuss the stay at home order last week. It was very helpful to be able to	Summary: The meeting opened at 5pm. Summary: Dr. Bullough shared an update about COVID-19 data. Testing for COVID-19 was discussed. There has been a lot of support from the Environmental Health staff, the County Council, the Board of Health, and all the municipalities through the current health crisis.	
	There are exemptions written into the stay at home order for fundamental necessities, including obtaining food. Everyone should act under the assumption that the people around you have been exposed and that you have been exposed, with the potential to expose others. Exemptions for being physically active have also been built into the order. It is recommended to still maintain social distancing. The Sheriff and the		

Topic	Discussion	Action or Summary
	County Attorney will use discretion in how to apply the order. Use common sense. Board Member Carson quoted an attorney who said, "This is a time when you want to be scrubbing your own toilets." • Park City municipal and Park City government have been supportive of and active with the directives coming from the Health Department. All the municipalities, Mayors, and City Councils have been supportive.	
The Prohibition of Third-Party Delivery Drivers in Public Health Order 2020-01	 Dr. Bondurant stated that documents for the appeal were sent out on Friday. There have been many appeals in the last several days in regards to what is or is not an essential service, in regards to Public Health Order number 3. This appeal is in regards to public health order number 1, in regards of the use of third-party delivery drivers being able to deliver food from restaurants. The main focus of the public health orders is to protect public health. There was not a focus placed on any one type of business. Authority for appeals has been delegated to Dr. Bondurant. The Board can choose to uphold the decision for this case, make a different decision, or have a decision with conditions. Attorney Dave Thomas stated that the Board can deliberate in private or in public, but will need to issue Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law for whatever decision is made, to be prepared by Dave Thomas and signed by the Board Chair. Dr. Bondurant stated that on March 18, 2020, Mr. Rubin contacted Nate Brooks, with a proposal to allow third-party delivery services. This proposal has been shared with the Board. There are three points in public health order number 1 that Mr. Rubin feels should be reconsidered as part of this appeal. Summit County is being asked to consider on a case-by-case basis an approval to consider a few local restaurants that did not offer delivery service prior to the order to be allowed to do so. Another option is to allow restaurants to hire third-party delivery services as employees to provide delivery services from restaurants that did not provide those services before or to residents who cannot get out to pick up at a restaurant. The initial appeal came through on March 18, 2020, and the next day Dr. Bondurant had a discussion with Mr. Rubin asking if there was an opportunity for Mr. Rubin to coordinate with the Park City area Restaurant Association to provide a solution. Through that coordination, there could be a proposal submitted to the Health Department that would an	Summary: • A discussion was conducted presenting Dr. Bondurant's basis for denial of the original appeal from Four Seasons to allow third-party deliveries within Summit County as prohibited by Public Health Order 2020-01. • Mr. Rubin presented his argument that third-party deliveries should be allowed. • The Board of Health unanimously denied the appeal to protect the health, safety, and welfare of the community.

Горіс	Discussion	Action or Summa
	support for the decision were given by Park City municipal and the Park	
	City area Restaurant Association stating there was a risk to public health	
	and the risk outweighed the need for such services. Additionally, in	
	discussions with restaurant owners there was a concern over what type of	
	liability restaurant owners would have if a delivery driver was found to	
	have COVID-19 and was delivering food. Restaurant owners do not want to	
	assume that liability and would not use a third-party delivery service if	
	they did not already have delivery service in place.	
	Mr. Sam Rubin stated that he had asked the decision to be expedited	
	because he felt all conversations had been positive and there had not been	
	any follow-up from Ginger with the Restaurant Association concerning a	
	proposal. There are currently Uber drivers who are delivering food in the	
	Park City area. Instacart from Smith's is still delivering, with nothing to stop	
	them from touching groceries. Prior to the public order 1, there was no	
	permitting process for Door Dash, who was allowed to deliver in the Park	
	City area. Mr. Rubin is more than happy to work with Summit County to	
	come up with a plan that would address all of the concerns that have been	
	raised. There should not be a problem with having restaurant owners hire	
	third-party drivers as employees so the drivers can meet the safety	
	regulations the restaurant owners already have in place. The delivery	
	driver can demonstrate to the restaurant owner or Summit County that	
	they are meeting regulations and are delivering safely. Originally, COVID-	
	19 cases were on the rise, but now the number of cases is linear, not	
	exponential. New York Taxi and Limousine Association is actively hiring	
	drivers to assist with delivery. Other examples throughout North America	
	were shared. An article was shared that states that grocery shopping has a higher risk than delivery. There has been a rapid increase in the number of	
	Park City restaurants that have basically ceased operations, with the	
	majority in the Old Town Main street corridor, which is harder for most	
	residents to get to. This proposal would offer those restaurants a lifeline.	
	The restaurants in the Kimball Junction area are thriving because of this.	
	Mr. Rubin has had to layoff 25 people and cancel 1,387 trips. This proposal	
	would be a way to give back to the community and give a lifeline to	
	restaurants. Mr. Rubin is asking for a condition of approval that would not	
	allow any third-party delivery service to charge fees to any restaurant	
	through February 2021 as an effort to show that this proposal would truly	
	help the community.	
	Ginger, from the Park City area Restaurant Association stated she had	
	several conversations with Mr. Rubin. The response from the Restaurant	
	Association members did not indicate that a third-party delivery service	
	was needed. Some members were concerned about virus transmission	
	through delivery drivers. Mr. Rubin did have some ideas to negate those	
	concerns. Park City municipal asked Ginger to stand down on the matter to	
	give them time to work through the matter. The overall consensus from	
	the Restaurant Association board was to support Park City municipal and	
	Summit County. It is in the best interest of public safety to let the experts	
	make this decision.	
	Seth, a restaurant owner and Restaurant Association board member	
	stated he supports the decision of Dr. Bondurant, and wishes there was a	
	need for delivery drivers. There could be an opportunity in the future	
	(possibly in two weeks) to have a program for delivery. The restaurant	
	employees make more money from unemployment than they would doing	
	delivery. The health of our community and families is much more	
	important.	
	Chair Golding asked if the primary concern is that there is not a need for	
	third-party delivery service or if the service is not necessarily safe enough	

Topic	Discussion	Action or Summary
	to warrant allowing it. it seems that the Board's focus should be whether	
	or not the service is perceived as dangerous and not an essential service.	
	Dr. Bondurant stated that the original reason third-party delivery service	
	was restricted was because there was no oversight of the service. The	
	decision the Board needs to make is whether to uphold the initial appeal,	
	which did not include some of the information that has been shared at this	
	meeting. None of the reasons presented for the appeal do anything to	
	protect public health or help stop the spread of coronavirus. In reference to Mr. Rubin's examples of what New York allows, just because New York	
	allows it does not mean it is right or is the best practice. Summit County	
	believes that they are taking measures to protect public health. There have	
	not been any proposals or recommendations to show how delivery drivers	
	can stop the spread of COVID-19 and deliver food. Environmental Health is	
	tapped out working to uphold what was laid out in the public health	
	orders. It is not the Health Department's job to create a procedure for an	
	industry that is believed to already present a risk. Board Member Ure	
	stated he would not have a delivery driver bring food to him. The Health	
	Department and the Board of Health have an obligation to protect the	
	community and uphold the Public Health Order. Board Member Carson	
	stated that if the delivery drivers were made employees of the restaurant,	
	there would be training required, and there are concerns about health,	
	safety and welfare from that standpoint. The Governor has allowed third- party delivery services, but made it very clear that local jurisdictions can	
	make their own decisions, which Summit County has done over concerns	
	of safety. There are not any safety protocols in place to ensure that the	
	delivery drivers are healthy, which the restaurants are required to do on a	
	daily basis. Mr. Rubin stated that if the ideas that were presented in emails	
	and phone calls between himself and Ginger had been presented during	
	the original appeal then a plan would have been able to be presented that	
	would satisfy everybody and could possibly have stopped some	
	restaurants from closing and been able to move forward. Mr. Rubin was	
	not able to come up with the plan because he never heard back from	
	Ginger. Mr. Rubin is more than happy to come up with a plan to be	
	presented at a later date. Dr, Bondurant stated that it sounds like Kimball Junction area residents would probably not utilize the third-party delivery	
	services, and from the comments made by Ginger and Seth, there is not a	
	need for third-party delivery service on Main Street, and is not essential.	
	There has not been an argument made that shows the benefit to public	
	health by redacting this part of Public Health Order 1. There are a number	
	of individual components of the rule that are hard for a lot of people; and	
	those involved in the decision-making process are aware that these	
	decisions are affecting a lot of people's lives. These orders are being put in	
	place because there is a need to put in a gap in the rate of transmission.	
	Nothing has been presented so far that would allow a redaction and	
	continue on a track to protect public health. Mr. Rubin asked why other	
	delivery services are being allowed. Dr. Bondurant answered that they are not allowed and in fact, Environmental Health is working to track down	
	those delivery services. The next step is to deliver a cease and desist order	
	to Instacart. Attorney Dave Thomas asked if the ability to have third-party	
	delivery was dependent on having certified food safety managers, and	
	what is the process and is there the ability to do that right now. Dr.	
	Bondurant answered that if a restaurant does not have a food safety	
	manager, then the restaurant would be penalized, with the potential of	
	the restaurant being closed. All current food safety managers will maintain	
	that status even if their certification lapses during the COVID-19 concerns,	
	but there will not be any new food safety manager courses provided until	

Горіс	Discussion	Action or Summa
	the COVID-19 concerns have passed because the course requires in-person	
	training. Nate Brooks stated that third-party delivery services have been an	
	unregulated industry for eight to ten years, and the need for regulation has	
	been highlighted by the coronavirus outbreak. Nate Brooks is open to	
	ideas to make this proposal work, but it is ultimately the decision of the	
	Board of Health. Dr. Bondurant stated that a proposal would need to be	
	complete when submitted because the Health Department does not have	
	time during this pandemic to come up with solutions for this proposal. The	
	original appeal was denied because it was incomplete. Attorney Dave Thomas stated that the original order would have to be updated if these	
	proposed allowances were made. Board Member Adams stated that	
	because our community is experiencing higher than normal rates of	
	COVID-19, stricter measures need to be taken. We need to abide by this	
	public health order to flatten the curve and protect our community. Chair	
	Golding clarified that at the time the order was created, it was not	
	believed that there was a safe way to have third-party deliveries. Dr.	
	Bondurant confirmed that there is probably not any safe way to have	
	third-party deliveries in the current environment. This is not a time to be	
	flexible. There are limited resources available. The health of our	
	community is far more important than finding ways to allow third-party	
	deliveries. Mr. Rubin asked what will happen to the elderly or those not	
	able to get their own food and groceries who rely on third-party delivery	
	services. Mr. Rubin also asked why delivery drivers could not be employees	
	of a restaurant. Dr. Bondurant answered that if a restaurant owner wanted	
	to hire delivery drivers as employees then those drivers would no longer	
	be employed by the third-party delivery company and could not drive	
	those company vehicles, so Mr. Rubin would no longer be the employer of	
	those delivery drivers. Board Member Carson stated that local social services and Meals on Wheels are being utilized to help provide food for	
	the elderly and those unable to get their own food. If there are gaps in	
	those services, the County needs to be made aware of those gaps to	
	determine how to best serve the community. These services have already	
	been certified and have processes in place to protect the community being	
	serviced. Dr. Bondurant stated that the main highlight of this discussion is	
	that the County does not want uncertified people delivering food and	
	groceries unsupervised and potentially spreading COVID-19 to our most	
	vulnerable population. Board Member Cherniak clarified that any third-	
	party delivery service currently operating is noncompliant right now. If this	
	appeal was to be approved, then the Board would be giving tacit approval	
	to all third-party delivery services. The customer, the restaurant, and the	
	third-party delivery service would all be in violation of the public health	
	order if a third-party delivery service was utilized. Board Member Evans	
	stated that the focus needs to be on the health and safety of the	
	community. Businesses are being hurt, but the Board's job is to look out	
	for the safety of the community. Summit County Health Department responded quickly to this public health threat and hopefully by being	
	vigilant, this pandemic will over more quickly.	
	Board Member Carson made a motion to deny the appeal from Four	
	Seasons, as has been presented to the Board according to the Findings of	
	Fact and Conclusions of Law evidenced by the discussion this evening and	
	subject to the Chair's approval of the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of	
	Law. Board Member Evans seconded the motion. The motion was	
	approved 7-0 and the appeal is denied.	
djourn	• The meeting adjourned at 6:38 p.m.	
,	Board Members Watterson and Carson made a motion to adjourn.	
	Motion passed 7-0.	

